2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Total

Injury and Violence

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN17: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
$41.7 \quad 34.5$
$29.6 \quad 28.5$
$26.7 \quad 20.1$
19.7
19.2 Decreased, 1991-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QN18: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times
during the 12 months before the survey)

| 12.5 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6.0

Decreased, 1993-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QN19: Percentage of students who were ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when they did not want to)

| 9.9 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.4 Decreased, 2003-2016

No quadratic change
No change

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.4 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months

before the survey)
$22.9 \quad 20.8 \quad 26.3 \quad 22.4 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through
texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 15.8 | 15.7 | 18.9 | 17.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 25.3 | 25.1 | 21.0 | 19.5 | 24.1 | 27.0 | No linear change $\quad$Decreased, 2003-2012 <br> Increased, 2012-2016 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 |
| QN26: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.3 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 17.9 | 16.5 |  |  | 14.2 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 16.1 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2012 <br> Increased, 2012-2016 | No change |
| QN27: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.3 | 20.8 |  |  |  |  | 17.2 | 14.3 |  |  | 10.9 | 9.8 | 13.3 | 14.1 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN28: Percentage of students who attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  | 8.8 | 9.4 |  |  | 7.7 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 8.0 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No change, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 3.2 |  |  | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN30: Percentage of students who ever tried cigarette smoking (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72.4 | 67.1 |  |  |  |  | 60.2 | 53.4 |  |  | 38.7 | 31.9 | 31.4 | 24.0 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | Decreased |
| QN32: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.2 | 33.7 |  |  |  |  | 24.1 | 21.8 |  |  | 15.0 | 10.9 | 13.3 | 7.4 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.1 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  | 11.2 | 9.6 |  |  | 5.8 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.1 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2016 | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.3 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 7.0 |  |  | 4.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2016 | Decreased |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2014-2016{ }^{\dagger} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.3 | 19.3 |  |  |  |  | 34.6 | 32.3 |  |  | 25.0 | 23.6 | 26.6 | 25.4 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.7 | 7.0 |  |  | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.3 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  | 18.3 | 17.5 |  |  | 12.7 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 13.4 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.6 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  | 6.9 | 7.5 |  |  | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 4.1 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Increased, 1991-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2016 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52.5 | 47.0 |  |  |  |  | 42.8 | 40.8 |  |  | 37.1 | 35.2 | 32.5 | 29.1 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 4.4 |  |  | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.3 | 15.1 |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | 11.9 |  |  | 10.6 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 6.0 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37.2 | 31.9 |  |  |  |  | 31.5 | 29.9 |  |  | 27.0 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 20.5 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2014-2016^{\dagger} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN63: Percentage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.1 | 27.4 |  |  |  |  | 30.5 | 24.0 |  |  | 19.8 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 13.7 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No change, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN64: Percentage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 60.2 | 61.6 |  |  | 62.0 | 62.5 | 57.0 | 53.3 | Increased, 1991-2016 | Increased, 1991-2012 <br> Decreased, 2012-2016 | No change |
| QN85: Percentage of students who were ever tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (not counting tests done if they donated blood) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 8.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN65: Percentage of students who used birth control pills before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.6 | 17.6 |  |  |  |  | 21.9 | 21.6 |  |  | 21.9 | 16.4 | 20.7 | 21.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Total

Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

| 1.8 | 3.3 | 4.3 | No linear change ${ }^{2}$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]6.5 $3.6 \quad 5.9 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

[^1]| 24.8 | 27.6 | $31.4 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
sOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| QNFR3: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices three or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 15.6 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 14.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.3 | 34.9 |  |  | 39.8 | 38.6 | 39.4 | 42.7 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN73: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.6 | 26.5 |  |  | 27.0 | 29.3 | 30.6 | 31.2 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN74: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.3 | 47.9 |  |  | 52.8 | 51.4 | 50.0 | 49.6 | Increased, 2003-2016 | Increased, 2003-2010 <br> No change, 2010-2016 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Tota

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Total

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{*}$
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day (counting time spent on things such as Xbox, PlayStation, an iPad or other tablet, a smartphone, texting, YouTube, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)
$21.1 \quad 28.1 \quad 31.5 \quad 38.3$ Increased, 2010-2016 $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Increased

| 46.6 | 48.6 | 49.1 | 48.9 | 48.1 | 49.6 | 49.0 | 42.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34.4 |  | 36.4 | 34.3 | 33.5 | 34.9 | 32.8 | 27.7 | No linear change | No change, 1991-2012 Decreased, 2012-2016 | No change |
| QN83: Percentage of students who played on at least one sports team (counting any teams run by their school or community groups, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 62.0 | 63.3 | 62.9 | 65.2 | 64.3 | 62.8 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lealth Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total |
| :--- |
| Other |

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Total

Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink one or more imes per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 17.1 | 15.9 | 15.7 | 11.9 | Decreased, 2010-2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Not available
Decreased

QNSPDRK2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink two or more times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 10.2 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.8 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink three or more
times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel
or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

QN90: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a bicycle helmet (during the 12 months
before the survey, among students who had ridden a bicycle)
$97.7 \quad 96.6$
91.291 .7
$91.0 \quad 89.1 \quad 84.8$
84.7
Decreased, 1991-2016
No quadratic change
No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Tota

## Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN91: Percentage of students who reported liquor as the type of alcohol they usually drank (such as vodka, rum, scotch, bourbon, or whiskey, during the 30 days before the survey, among students who drank alcohol and who have a usual type of alcohol that they drink)
$43.7 \quad 47.0 \quad 39.8 \quad 47.4 \quad$ No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN92: Percentage of students who reported their home or another person's home as the place they usually drank alcohol (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who drank alcohol)

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
81.6 & 82.0 & 84.5 & 87.5 & \text { Increased, 2010-2016 } & \text { Not available } \quad \text { No change }
\end{array}
$$

## QN95: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink (such as <br> Gatorade or PowerAde, not including low-calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, one or more

times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 31.2 | 31.9 | 34.2 | 42.6 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN96: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sugar-sweetened beverage (such as lemonade, sweetened tea or coffee drinks, flavored milk, Snapple, Sunny Delight, or energy drinks such as Red Bull, not including soda or pop, sports drinks, energy drinks, or $100 \%$ fruit juice, one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 13.0 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 12.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Injury and Violence

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

QN8: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a seat belt (when riding in a car driven by
someone else)
$60.5 \quad 36.7$
$28.1 \quad 21.7$
$18.8 \quad 16.4$
13.8
8.8
Decreased, 1991-2016
No quadratic change
Decreased

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)
$45.4 \quad 43.5$
$37.0 \quad 36.6$
21.7
21.4
9.3 Decreased, 1991-2016
Decreased, 1991-2005
No change

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol
(one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or
other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)

| 7.3 | 11.2 | 6.9 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least
1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle
during the 30 days before the survey)
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

QN18: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
9.7

No quadratic change
No change

QN19: Percentage of students who were ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when
they did not want to)

| 8.3 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 3.9 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times
during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)
4.7 2.7 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 5.3 | 3.7 | 7.2 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 22.6 | 17.0 | 24.4 | 21.3 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through
texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 11.8 | 9.7 | 13.0 | 11.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 19.2 | 19.0 | 14.5 | 11.5 | 17.1 | 19.2 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | Decreased, 2003-2012 <br> Increased, 2012-2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | No change

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Male <br> Tobacco Use

|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.8 | 23.3 |  |  |  |  | 36.2 | 35.0 |  |  | 26.3 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 25.8 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 8.9 |  |  | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 5.8 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 20.5 | 19.3 |  |  | 13.5 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 13.6 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> Decreased, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.7 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  | 6.7 | 8.6 |  |  | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Increased, 1991-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2016 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

QN50: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life)

| 11.5 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN51: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White,"
one or more times during their life)

| 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN52: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal,"
"crank," or "ice," one or more times during their life)

| 5.8 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 3.9 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN53: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA," one or more times during their life)

| 5.6 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN54: Percentage of students who ever used synthetic marijuana (also called "K2," "Spice," "fake weed," "King Kong," "Yucatan Fire," "Skunk," or "Moon Rocks," one or more times during their life)
6.9 6.2 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN55: Percentage of students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or shots, one or more times during their life)

| 3.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN57: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life)
$\begin{array}{lllll}2.7 & 3.8 & 2.3 & 2.9 & 4.5\end{array}$
1.5 No linear change

No quadratic change
Decreased

## QN58: Percentage of students who were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property

(during the 12 months before the survey)

| 13.5 | 27.6 | 23.9 | 20.7 | 21.9 | 19.1 | 19.9 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
0.7 0.6 3.5 No linear change No available ${ }^{\S}$ No change

[^2]$6.9 \quad 2.9 \quad 4.1 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

[^3]$20.3 \quad 20.0 \quad 22.8 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or
Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$5.9 \quad 5.6 \quad 5.7$ No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

| 14.0 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 16.3 | 6.5 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
sOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN75: Percentage of students who did not eat other vegetables (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey
$14.8 \quad 18.8$
19.3 Increased, 2003-2016

No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the
survey)

| 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 7.6 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{ll}65.7 & 62.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}62.2 & 60.1 & 64.1\end{array}$
60.8
No linear change
No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 28.8 | 25.6 | 27.5 | 23.7 | 26.8 | 26.5 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)
$13.1 \quad 10.1$
$12.4 \quad 11.1 \quad 13.0 \quad 13.2$
No linear change
No quadratic change
No change

QN76: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)
$16.1 \quad 18.8 \quad 21.8 \quad 22.8 \quad$ Increased, 2010-2016 $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

[^4]$29.7 \quad 27.0 \quad 24.4 \quad 24.2 \quad$ Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change

[^5]*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 10.4 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | Decreased, 2010-2016 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink milk (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 10.6 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 12.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK1: Percentage of students who drank one or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 57.6 | 59.8 | 53.3 | 48.3 | 49.1 | 46.7 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK2: Percentage of students who drank two or more glasses per day of milk (counting the
milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 42.2 | 44.6 | 38.4 | 32.5 | 35.2 | 28.4 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | 23.9 |  |  | 19.7 | 15.6 | 17.6 | 14.0 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN78: Percentage of students who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.7 | 13.3 | 14.2 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {}}$ | No change |
| QNBK7DAY: Percentage of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.6 | 38.8 | 33.8 | Decreased, 2012-2016 | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

Male
Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{*}$
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

Male
Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day (counting time spent on things such as Xbox, PlayStation, an iPad or other tablet, a smartphone, texting, YouTube, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)

| 25.3 | 29.0 | 31.1 | 38.9 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available | Increased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN82: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an
average week when they were in school)

| 55.2 | 52.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school)

| 40.7 | 35.9 | 42.1 | 40.2 | 38.7 | 39.3 | 38.9 | 32.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN83: Percentage of students who played on at least one sports team (counting any teams run by
their school or community groups, during the 12 months before the survey)

| 66.8 | 67.4 | 66.1 | 69.2 | 66.7 | 64.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Other | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN86: Percentage of students who saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.3 | 73.3 | 73.6 | 75.4 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNNODNT: Percentage of students who never saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.2 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

QN87: Percentage of students who had ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma

| 20.5 | 18.1 | 19.2 | 16.7 | 20.5 | 22.7 | No linear change | No change, 2003-2012 <br> Increased, 2012-2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | No change

QN88: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night)
35.1 28.9 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Male

Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink one or more times per day ( such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

No change

## QNSPDRK2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink two or more

 times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)| 15.6 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 10.9 | Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink three or more
times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel
or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

QN90: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a bicycle helmet (during the 12 months
before the survey, among students who had ridden a bicycle)
$97.3 \quad 95.2$
$91.2 \quad 92.5$
$91.0 \quad 90.1$
85.7
82.8
Decreased, 1991-2016
No quadratic change
No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN91: Percentage of students who reported liquor as the type of alcohol they usually drank (such as vodka, rum, scotch, bourbon, or whiskey, during the 30 days before the survey, among students who drank alcohol and who have a usual type of alcohol that they drink)
$42.3 \quad 45.5 \quad 39.6 \quad 47.1 \quad$ No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN92: Percentage of students who reported their home or another person's home as the place they usually drank alcohol (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who drank alcohol)

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
81.3 & 78.9 & 80.2 & 88.2 & \text { Increased, 2010-2016 } & \text { Not available } \quad \text { No change }
\end{array}
$$

## QN95: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink (such as <br> Gatorade or PowerAde, not including low-calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, one or more

times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 23.6 | 24.2 | 26.2 | 35.4 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN96: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sugar-sweetened beverage (such as lemonade, sweetened tea or coffee drinks, flavored milk, Snapple, Sunny Delight, or energy drinks such as Red Bull, not including soda or pop, sports drinks, energy drinks, or $100 \%$ fruit juice, one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 15.8 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 14.1 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN97: Percentage of students who have to avoid some foods because eating the food could cause an allergic reaction (such as skin rashes, swelling, itching, vomiting, coughing, or trouble breathing)
10.7 $9.0 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN98: Percentage of students who did exercises to strengthen or tone their muscles (such as
push-ups, sit-ups, or weight lifting, on three or more days during the 7 days before the survey)
$67.3 \quad 68.5 \quad 62.5 \quad 57.4$ Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change

QN100: Percentage of students who used an indoor tanning device (such as a sunlamp, sunbed, or tanning booth [not including getting a spray-on tan], one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 7.5 | 7.2 | 9.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Female <br> Injury and Violence

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN8: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a seat belt (when riding in a car driven by someone else)

| 44.2 | 19.3 | 15.3 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.8 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2005 <br> Decreased, $2005-2016$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 46.7 | 43.3 | 39.9 | 34.6 | 26.1 | 21.3 | 23.2 | 24.4 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2003 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol
(one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or
other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)
$6.3 \quad 9.1$
5.7 No linear change

Not available ${ }^{\S}$
No change

QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least
1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle
during the 30 days before the survey)

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Female <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN18: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No change, 1993-2005 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Decreased, 2005-2016

No change
QN19: Percentage of students who were ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when
they did not want to)

| 11.7 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 13.0 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change $\quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone
they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times
during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 15.6 | 13.8 | 12.0 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Female <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{*}$
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 10.0 | 11.7 | 7.2 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey)
$23.2 \quad 24.9 \quad 28.0 \quad 23.5 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)
$20.1 \quad 22.2 \quad 25.1 \quad 23.2 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 31.6 | 31.5 | 27.7 | 27.9 | 31.4 | 35.2 | No linear change $\quad$Decreased, 2003-2012 <br> Increased, 2012-2016 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Female <br> Tobacco Use

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN34: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes,
e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu, NJOY, Vuse,
MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo])
41.0 34.2 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available ${ }^{\S}$ Decreased

QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including
e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu,
NJOY, Vuse, MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo], on at least 1 day during the 30 days
before the survey)
22.8 7.1 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available Decreased

QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at
least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

| 11.7 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | Decreased, 2003-2016 No quadratic change No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

| 30.8 | 25.6 | 18.2 | 13.1 | 14.2 | 8.4 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN50: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life)

| 11.9 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 4.9 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN51: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White, one or more times during their life)
$1.7 \quad 1.5$
$1.1 \quad 0.9$
1.9
0.7 Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QN52: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal,"
"crank," or "ice," one or more times during their life)

| 6.9 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1.3 Decreased, 2003-2016

Decreased, 2003-2010
No change, 2010-2016
Decreased
No change, 2010-2016

## QN53: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA," one or more times

 during their life)$4.3 \quad 3.4$
$3.3 \quad 2.4 \quad 5.0$
2.0

Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
Decreased
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 |  | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{2014-2016}{ }{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used synthetic marijuana (also called "K2," "Spice," "fake weed," "King Kong," "Yucatan Fire," "Skunk," or "Moon Rocks," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6 | 3.5 | Decreased, 2014-2016 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Decreased |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or shots, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 | 2.6 |  |  | 2.0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 2.0 | No linear change | Increased, 1991-2003 <br> No change, 2003-2016 | No change |
| QN57: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 2.3 |  |  | 1.4 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 1.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN58: Percentage of students who were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.4 |  |  |  |  | 18.6 | 20.0 |  |  | 19.8 | 16.4 | 20.8 | 16.8 | Increased, 1993-2016 | Increased, 1993-2005 <br> No change, 2005-2016 | No change |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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| Female Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49.1 | 41.9 |  |  |  |  | 42.0 | 40.9 |  |  | 37.2 | 35.4 | 31.7 | 28.2 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.6 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.0 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  | 10.2 | 12.2 |  |  | 9.9 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 5.4 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37.0 | 31.1 |  |  |  |  | 32.9 | 29.6 |  |  | 28.5 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 20.8 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | No change |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Female

Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

| 3.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6]$6.1 \quad 4.3 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

[^7]$29.5 \quad 33.8 \quad 39.6$ No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Female

Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or
Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
13.2 $\quad 11.3$ 13.4 No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{8} \quad$ No change

[^8]| 15.0 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 14.9 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 19.0 | 7.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
sOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Female

Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors
Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN75: Percentage of students who did not eat other vegetables (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey
$11.7 \quad 14.4$
15.0
15.6
13.9
No linear change
No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 1.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

3.6

No linear change
No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)
$65.9 \quad 61.0$
$61.9 \quad 62.8$
$63.2 \quad 62.1$
No linear change
No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 26.6 | 22.7 | 25.3 | 28.0 | 27.9 | 21.1 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Female

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{*}$
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN79: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 45.9 | 49.5 | 46.3 | 47.2 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.5 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 16.3 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some
of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

QN80: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)
$25.6 \quad 25.5$
$23.6 \quad 21.6$
19.1
8.1
Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Female

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day (counting time spent on things such as Xbox, PlayStation, an iPad or other tablet, a smartphone, texting, YouTube, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)

| 16.7 | 27.1 | 31.9 | 38.0 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN82: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an average week when they were in school)

| 38.5 | 45.0 | 42.1 | 41.6 | 40.4 | 41.0 | 41.1 | 37.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school)

| 28.2 | 28.2 | 30.5 | 28.1 | 27.9 | 30.0 | 26.9 | 22.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN83: Percentage of students who played on at least one sports team (counting any teams run by
their school or community groups, during the 12 months before the survey)

| 57.0 | 59.0 | 59.5 | 61.0 | 61.5 | 60.9 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## White* <br> Injury and Violence

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN8: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a seat belt (when riding in a car driven by someone else)

| 51.9 | 27.7 | 20.4 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 6.6 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2005 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 46.7 | 43.5 | 38.4 | 34.5 | 23.6 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 18.5 | Decreased, 1991-2016 | Decreased, 1991-2003 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)
$6.9 \quad 8.2 \quad 5.7 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\mathbb{I} I} \quad$ No change

[^9] during the 30 days before the survey)
49.2 49.9 50.6 No linear change Not available No change
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## White* <br> Injury and Violence

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |

QN18: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
11.6
$9.0 \quad 8.1$
6.1
4.4
3.9
5.2

Decreased, 1993-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QN19: Percentage of students who were ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when
they did not want to)

| 8.6 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6.5 Decreased, 2003-2016

No quadratic change
No change

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

[^12]
## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## White* <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change
Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.4 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 23.8 | 21.3 | 26.2 | 21.9 | No linear change | Not available Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through
texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 16.4 | 16.8 | 18.8 | 16.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

$24.1 \quad 24.1 \quad 19.8 \quad 17.9 \quad 20.9 \quad 25.6 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$| Decreased, 2003-2012 |
| :---: |
| Increased, 2012-2016 |$\quad$ No change
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## White <br> Tobacco Use

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |

QN34: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes,
e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu, NJOY, Vuse,
MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo])
34.4 33.2 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change

QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including
e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu,
NJOY, Vuse, MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo], on at least 1 day during the 30 days
before the survey)
19.1 9.8 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available Decreased

QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)
$18.1 \quad 16.1$
$\begin{array}{lll}8.6 & 7.7 & 7.0\end{array}$
6.2
Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during
the 30 days before the survey)
$32.8 \quad 27.0$
18.8
14.8
15.0
10.1
Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
Decreased
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| White* <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

[^22]
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## White*

Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant
(such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

| 2.2 | 3.2 | 4.7 | No linear change | Not availablef | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

| 5.6 | 5.1 | 6.1 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^23]| 26.8 | 32.3 | 32.8 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## White*

Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or
Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
10.4 $11.7 \quad$ 9.9 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

| 13.0 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 14.1 | 7.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^25]
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"Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {s B Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{4}$ OVerweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change
$\underset{\text { 2014-2016 }}{ }{ }^{\text {C }}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.2 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 7.2 | 9.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1.1 Decreased, 2003-2016

Decreased, 2003-2012
No change Increased, 2012-2016

QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6.4

No linear change
Decreased, 2003-2012
Increased, 2012-2016
No change

QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day
(such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 57.5 | 56.8 | 58.4 | 62.9 | 59.8 | 56.5 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | No change

QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day
(such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 24.7 | 23.2 | 25.9 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 24.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## "Non-Hispanic.

${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| White* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QNFR3: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices three or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.3 | 11.8 |  |  | 14.3 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 12.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.3 | 34.0 |  |  | 39.4 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 39.6 | Increased, 2003-2016 | Increased, 2003-2010 <br> No change, 2010-2016 | No change |
| QN73: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.7 | 25.0 |  |  | 24.0 | 28.4 | 29.1 | 27.4 | Increased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN74: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.9 | 46.9 |  |  | 51.2 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 48.5 | Increased, 2003-2016 | Increased, 2003-2010 <br> No change, 2010-2016 | No change |
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| White* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.7 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 11.2 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN76: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 18.7 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 28.0 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ Increased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more
times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
before the survey)
$26.1 \quad 22.8 \quad 19.5 \quad 17.6 \quad$ Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change

[^28]$16.5 \quad 13.6 \quad 11.7 \quad$ 9.0 $\quad$ Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change
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## White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change
Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{8}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
before the survey)

| 8.4 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 3.6 | Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



QNMILK1: Percentage of students who drank one or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 52.4 | 55.6 | 49.9 | 48.1 | 46.0 | 41.0 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | Decreased, 2003-2012 <br> Decreased, 2012-2016 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK2: Percentage of students who drank two or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 37.0 | 39.1 | 33.7 | 32.7 | 30.8 | 23.1 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | Decreased, 2003-2012 <br> Decreased, 2012-2016 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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QNSPDRK1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink one or more times per day ( such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 16.2 | 16.1 | 15.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.8 Decreased, 2010-2016

Not available
Decreased

QNSPDRK2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink two or more times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lll}9.3 & 7.6 & 7.8\end{array}$
6.1 Decreased, 2010-2016

Not available
No change

[^36]| 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN90: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a bicycle helmet (during the 12 months
before the survey, among students who had ridden a bicycle)
$97.8 \quad 96.9$
$91.7 \quad 91.2$
90.5
83.4
83.8
Decreased, 1991-2016
No quadratic change
No change
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[^38] drank alcohol and who have a usual type of alcohol that they drink)

| 44.2 | 41.8 | 37.8 | 46.0 | No linear change | Not availablefl | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN92: Percentage of students who reported their home or another person's home as the place they usually drank alcohol (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who drank alcohol)
82.7
$83.1 \quad 86.0$
89.7
No linear change
Not available
No change

QN95: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink (such as Gatorade or PowerAde, not including low-calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 31.7 | 32.4 | 34.3 | 43.1 | Increased, 2010-2016 | Not available Increased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN96: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sugar-sweetened beverage (such as lemonade, sweetened tea or coffee drinks, flavored milk, Snapple, Sunny Delight, or energy drinks such as Red Bull, not including soda or pop, sports drinks, energy drinks, or $100 \%$ fruit juice, one or more times per day during the 7 days before the survey)

| 11.6 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 10.6 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN18: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
23.3
18.5
16.4
$11.6 \quad 6.8$
6.7
5.5
Decreased, 1993-2016
No quadratic change
No change

QN19: Percentage of students who were ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when
they did not want to)

| 20.2 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 12.2 | No linear change No quadratic change $\quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone
they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times
during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)
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## Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months befor the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 7.9 | 10.6 | 8.0 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)
$20.1 \quad 21.4 \quad 21.5 \quad 21.4 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)
12.3 13.8 17.0 23.1 Increased, 2010-2016 Not available No change

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 35.9 | 31.5 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 32.7 | 30.0 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN34: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes,
e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu, NJOY, Vuse,
MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo])
55.6 41.3 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available ${ }^{\S}$ Decreased

QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including
e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu,
NJOY, Vuse, MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo], on at least 1 day during the 30 days
before the survey)
33.7 8.2 Decreased, 2014-2016 Not available Decreased

QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at
least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

| 18.9 | 11.1 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 5.1 | Decreased, 2003-2016 No quadratic change No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)
$30.9 \quad 26.4$
$19.9 \quad 14.8$
19.3
8.0
Decreased, 2003-2016
No quadratic change
Decreased
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.3 |  |  |  |  | 27.3 | 19.2 |  |  | 21.0 | 15.8 | 25.2 | 16.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.3 |  |  |  |  | 17.4 | 16.0 |  |  | 10.6 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No change, 1993-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2016 | No change |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 11.8 |  |  | 16.6 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN51: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | 5.2 |  |  | 4.5 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.2 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," "crank," or "ice," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.4 | 11.4 |  |  | 8.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.3 | Decreased, 2003-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | 8.1 |  |  | 7.6 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used synthetic marijuana (also called "K2," "Spice," "fake weed," "King Kong," "Yucatan Fire," "Skunk," or "Moon Rocks," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.6 | 9.2 | No linear change | Not available§ | No change |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or shots, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.0 |  |  |  |  | 7.9 | 8.2 |  |  | 5.3 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 8.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2014-2016 ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |  |  |  |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 68.6 |  |  |  |  | 47.0 | 51.2 |  |  | 48.8 | 42.7 | 38.3 | 32.8 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.9 |  |  |  |  | 9.4 | 9.1 |  |  | 8.7 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 2.8 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.7 |  |  | 18.2 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 5.9 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42.5 |  |  |  |  | 34.3 | 36.5 |  |  | 34.3 | 25.7 | 32.2 | 18.1 | Decreased, 1993-2016 | No change, 1993-2010 <br> Decreased, 2010-2016 | Decreased |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic

Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors
Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN75: Percentage of students who did not eat other vegetables (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 18.3 | 21.3 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 17.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No change, 2003-2010
No change, 2010-2016

No change
No change, 2010-2016
都

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 9.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.7 No linear change

No quadratic change
No change

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 54.8 | 52.6 | 56.3 | 56.4 | 58.0 | 56.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 22.0 | 17.7 | 26.8 | 24.3 | 25.1 | 27.7 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic

Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors
Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 9.2 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 7.5 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink milk (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 17.8 | 12.5 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 22.9 | 18.3 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK1: Percentage of students who drank one or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 37.6 | 39.4 | 36.8 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 32.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNMILK2: Percentage of students who drank two or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 19.5 | 21.6 | 25.2 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 15.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{*}$
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Other



QN87: Percentage of students who had ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma

| 20.5 | 10.7 | 19.9 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 21.3 | No linear change No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN88: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night)
28.6 22.1 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Nebraska High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2014-2016

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink one or more times per day ( such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)
$20.4 \quad 14.8 \quad 14.8 \quad 18.2 \quad$ No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QNSPDRK2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink two or more
times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 11.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSPDRK3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink three or more
times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel
or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

QN90: Percentage of students who rarely or never wore a bicycle helmet (during the 12 months
before the survey, among students who had ridden a bicycle)
$93.9 \quad 97.2 \quad 89.8 \quad 90.4 \quad 89.0 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Nebraska High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Site-Added


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2016 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Nebraska High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
    OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^1]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^2]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
    OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^3]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^4]:    QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more
    times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
    before the survey)

[^5]:    QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more
    times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
    before the survey)
    $20.1 \quad 17.8 \quad 15.6 \quad 13.6 \quad$ Decreased, 2010-2016 Not available No change

[^6]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
    OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^7]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^8]:    QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

[^9]:    QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least
    1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle

[^10]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^11]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^12]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^13]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^14]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^15]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^16]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^17]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on $t$-test analysis, $p<0.05$.

[^19]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    sBased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^20]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^21]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on $t$-test analysis, $p<0.05$.

[^22]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^23]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^24]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^25]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^26]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^27]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^28]:    QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more
    times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
    before the survey)

[^29]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^30]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    
    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^31]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^32]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^33]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^34]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^35]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^36]:    QNSPDRK3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of a sports drink three or more times per day (such as Gatorade or Powerade, not counting low calorie sports drinks such as Propel or G2, during the 7 days before the survey)

[^37]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^38]:    QN91: Percentage of students who reported liquor as the type of alcohol they usually drank (such as vodka, rum, scotch, bourbon, or whiskey, during the 30 days before the survey, among students who

[^39]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^40]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

